Platelet Activation by Collagen Provides Sustained Release of Anabolic Cytokines
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Background: Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) has been increasingly used in sports medicine applications. Platelets are thought to release growth factors important in wound healing, including transforming growth factor (TGF-β1), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF-AB), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). However, little is known about the effect of platelet activator choice on growth factor release kinetics.

Hypothesis: The choice of platelet activator would affect the timing and level of growth factor release from PRP.

Study Design: Controlled laboratory study.

Methods: Platelet-rich plasma aliquots were activated with either thrombin or collagen. A control group of whole blood aliquots was clotted with thrombin. Supernatant containing the released growth factors was collected daily for 1 week. Levels of TGF-β1, PDGF-AB, and VEGF were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Results: The use of thrombin as an activator resulted in immediate release of TGF-β1 and PDGF-AB, while the collagen-activated PRP clots released similar amounts each day for 5 days. The use of collagen as an activator resulted in an 80% greater cumulative release of TGF-β1 from the PRP aliquots over 7 days (P < .001). Concentrating platelets to 3 times the systemic blood level resulted in a 3-fold higher release of TGF-β1, 2.5-fold greater release of PDGF, and 5-fold greater release of VEGF (all P < .0001) when compared with whole blood control clots, but no significant differences in the timing of release were noted.

Conclusion: These experiments demonstrated that the choice of platelet activator can significantly influence the release kinetics of cytokines from PRP, with thrombin resulting in an immediate release and collagen having a more sustained release pattern.

Clinical Relevance: The level and rate of growth factor release depends on the selected platelet activator, a factor that should be considered when selecting a PRP system for a given application.
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Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) has been of interest recently as a biological treatment for sports medicine injuries.15,21,22 It has been shown to have success in the clinical treatment of lateral epicondylitis,23 but other applications have had less success.24 Even within the same application, for example, as an adjunct to rotator cuff repair or anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury, some studies show efficacy,22,25,29,31 while others do not.24 One of the reasons for the variability in the outcomes when PRP is used may be related to the wide variation in PRP preparation methods currently in use. Some PRP techniques use bovine thrombin as an activator,21,24,25 while others use collagen,21,26 or other activators.27 The concentration of platelets in the PRP also varies from system to system.22 What effect these differences might have on the efficacy of the PRP product is as yet relatively understudied.

It is understood that activated platelets release anabolic cytokines from their granules into the surrounding environment. As part of the wound healing process, these growth factors serve as mitogens, cell proliferation stimulants, and cell migration chemoattractants. Growth factors that have been shown to encourage wound healing include, but are not limited to, transforming growth factor (TGF-β1), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF-AB), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).21,22 However, little information is available regarding the kinetics of growth factor release from a whole blood clot or PRP clot formed either spontaneously or through activation by exogenous thrombin. There is also little known about whether the release of growth factors from a clot over time is affected.
by the platelet concentration or presence of platelet activators, such as thrombin or collagen.

It is also of interest to determine whether increasing the concentration of platelets over the levels found in whole blood (ie, by creating PRP) results in a similar fold increase in growth factor release over time. Several previous studies have addressed this question, and the results have been inconsistent. In a quantitative analysis, Epley et al\textsuperscript{15} found that the release of TGF-\(\beta\), PDGF-AB, and VEGF was significantly greater from PRP than from whole blood when both were induced to clot with bovine thrombin in vitro. In contrast, Weibrich et al\textsuperscript{38} and Mazzucco et al.\textsuperscript{28} did not find any correlation between platelet concentration and growth factor levels in PRP.

Several negative side effects exist with the use of thrombin, potentially limiting the application of this additive for clinical use. Some such limitations include undesirable immune responses in humans\textsuperscript{39} and inhibition of cell proliferation and viability in vitro.\textsuperscript{26} As a result, identifying a safer platelet activator is beneficial. Collagen is an attractive alternative to thrombin due to its native involvement in the intrinsic clotting cascade and its wide use as a biomaterial.\textsuperscript{29,30} In addition, Fuhr et al\textsuperscript{31} explored the use of type I collagen as a cloting agent and platelet activator in PRP instead of bovine thrombin, measuring clinically relevant levels of TGF-\(\beta\), PDGF-AB, and VEGF from both types of clots over several days.

In this study, we hypothesized that both the choice of platelet activator and a higher concentration of platelets, as seen in PRP compared with whole blood, would significantly affect the release of growth factors important in sports medicine applications. Specifically, we hypothesized that the choice of platelet activator would affect the timing and level of growth factor release and that the higher concentration of platelets in PRP would result in a greater level of growth factor release. While other factors such as centrifugation protocols, choice of anticoagulant, platelet integrity, and the presence of other cell types may all influence the efficacy of PRP, proving or disproving these hypotheses would begin to provide us with one possible rationale by which to understand why some studies using PRP may show more efficacy than others for a given clinical situation. While PRP releases a (still mostly unknown) plethora of growth factors, TGF-\(\beta\), PDGF-AB, and VEGF are well known for their association with PRP and their effects on wound healing. These 3 are chosen as a representative of PRP growth factors, and because they are used in most PRP studies, this choice allows for comparison of our results with earlier findings.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Blood Preparation**

Human blood was collected from 9 donors (150 mL each; 8 men, 1 woman; age, 28.4 ± 9.1 years). Blood collection was through a blood collection facility holding institutional review board approvals for the collection and distribution of human blood for scientific purposes. For each donor, 30 mL was reserved with no anticoagulant for the spontaneous blood clot control group. The remaining 120 mL of blood was mixed with 10% acid-citrate-dextrose (ACD) as an anticoagulant. The anticoagulated blood was separated into 2 aliquots, one for the whole blood group (40 mL per donor) and one for the PRP groups (80 mL per donor). The PRP was made using the HarvestSmartPreP2 System (Harvest Technologies, Plymouth, Massachusetts), which typically yields a platelet concentration of approximately 3 times over baseline using the selected protocol. Complete blood counts (CBCs) were recorded and reported for the whole blood and PRP samples.

For each of the 9 donors, 2 borosilicate glass tubes (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts) were prepared. Seven tubes (one for each time point) were filled for each of the following groups: (1) whole blood with bovine thrombin (reconstituted with 10% calcium chloride) at a 50:1 ratio, (2) PRP with bovine thrombin (reconstituted with 10% calcium chloride) at a 50:1 ratio, (3) PRP with a collagen sponge, and (4) whole blood with no anticoagulant. The collagen sponges were prepared by lyophilizing an atelocollagen solution\textsuperscript{10} into a cylindrical sponge 14 mm in diameter and then cutting this into discs 2 mm in width. All samples were allowed to clot and were stored at room temperature (25°C).

** Supernatant Extraction**

Samples of supernatant exuded from each clot were collected at 2 hours and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 days after sample preparation. At each time point, one tube from each type of clot was centrifuged (Sorvall RC3 Centrifuge, ThermoScientific, Waltham, Massachusetts) at 2500 rpm for minutes, while the remaining tubes were left at room temperature. The supernatant was removed and transferred to a 4-mL cryogenic vial for storage at −80°C. Care was taken to not disturb the clot at the bottom of each tube. The amount of volume removed at each time point was recorded. Tubes containing the clots were discarded after the supernatant was removed.

**ELISA**

To measure growth factor release in the supernatant, 3 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were run with each sample, transforming growth factor beta (TGF-\(\beta\)), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF-AB), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Assays were performed according to protocol in commercially available Quantikine colorimetric sandwich ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota). As directed by the protocol, all TGF-\(\beta\) in the samples was activated before completion of the assay.

**Statistical Analysis**

Levels of TGF-\(\beta\), PDGF-AB, and VEGF were compared between naturally clotted whole blood (WB) and enhanced cloting groups (WB + BT, PRP + BT, PRP + COL) to determine differences in release per volume between groups and over the 7-day time course using mixed-model repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with F tests for assessing significant overall differences and...
Cumulative TGF-β1

![Graph showing cumulative TGF-β1 release over time in different conditions](image)

**Figure 1.** Cumulative TGF-β1 release over time measured in the supernatant surrounding 4 types of blood clots. The naturally clotting whole blood (WB), thrombin-activated whole blood (WB + BT), and thrombin-activated platelet-rich plasma (PRP + BT) clots released TGF-β1 at the 2-hour time point and maintained the same level of cumulative TGF-β1 on the remaining 6 days. In contrast, the collagen-activated platelet-rich plasma (PRP + COL) clots released a relatively small amount of TGF-β1 at the 2-hour time point and showed an increase in the cumulative TGF-β1 levels in the supernatant between day 1 and 5, after which the concentration remained constant. This time-dependent release for PRP + COL was statistically significant by repeated-measures ANOVA (P < .0001) but not significant for WB (P = .999), WB + BT (P = .895), or PRP + BT (P = .532). The shapes at each time point represent average values (n = 9) of growth factor released, and error bars are standard errors of the mean (SEMs).

### Effect of Activator Choice

The aliquots of PRP clotted with thrombin had an immediate release of TGF-β1 and PDGF, with all the growth factor released in the first 2 hours and no subsequent release (Figures 1 and 2). In contrast, the aliquots of PRP clotted with collagen released a relatively small amount of TGF-β1 and PDGF at the 2-hour time point and showed an increase in the cumulative TGF-β1 and PDGF levels in the supernatant each subsequent day through day 5, after which the concentration remained constant. This time-dependent release of all growth factors from PRP clotted with collagen was statistically significant by repeated-measures ANOVA (P < .0001). Release of VEGF continued throughout the week-long study from both groups of PRP clots, with no significant difference in release kinetics or cumulative amount (Figure 3). The cumulative release of TGF-β1 was 80% greater in the collagen group (P < .001) (Figure 1), although there were no significant differences in cumulative release of PDGF (P = .96) or VEGF (P = .56) in the 2 activator groups (Figure 1).

### Effect of Platelet Concentration

The PRP aliquots released a 3-fold greater amount of TGF-β1 than the whole blood at all 7 time points (P < .0001) (Figure 1). In addition, the PRP clots released a 2.5-fold greater amount of PDGF-AB than the whole blood clots on day 1 and maintained the same level for 1 week (all P < .0001). The PRP clots released a 5-fold greater amount of VEGF than the whole blood clots at day 1 (P < .0001), and this difference increased through day 7 (P < .0001). There were no significant differences in PDGF release between whole blood naturally clotted or induced to clot with thrombin on any of the 7 days (all P > .40); however, TGF-β1 and VEGF release were suppressed from the whole blood when it was induced to clot with thrombin (P < .031 and P < .007, respectively).
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Figure 2. Cumulative PDGF-AB release over time measured in the supernatant surrounding 4 types of blood clots. The PDGF-AB release trends were similar to those observed for TGF-β1 in Figure 1. The naturally clotted whole blood (WB) and thrombin-activated clots released a large amount of PDGF-AB at the 2-hour time point and maintained the same cumulative level of PDGF-AB in the supernatant on the remaining 6 days. In contrast, the collagen-activated platelet-rich plasma (PRP) released an increasing total amount of PDGF-AB in the supernatant between days 1 and 5. Repeated-measures ANOVA confirmed a statistically significant time-dependent PDGF-AB release for PRP + COL (P < .0001) but not for WB (P = .999), WB + BT (P = .864), or PRP + BT (P = .820). While the profile of release was different for the collagen and thrombin-activated release of PDGF-AB, there was no significant difference between the cumulative release of PDGF-AB by the fifth day after clot activation. The shapes at each time point represent average values (n = 9) of growth factor released, and error bars are standard errors of the mean (SEMs).

Figure 3. Cumulative VEGF release over time measured in the supernatant surrounding 4 types of blood clots (refer to Figure 1 for sample descriptions). The majority of the release of VEGF from the thrombin-activated whole blood clot was over the first 2 hours, while that from the collagen-activated platelet-rich plasma (PRP) clot occurred over a longer period of time. The release of VEGF from the naturally clotted whole blood (WB) and thrombin-activated PRP groups also occurred over 5 days. These trends were supported by repeated-measures ANOVA for PRP + COL (P < .0001) and PRP + BT (P < .0001) and WB (P < .0001) but not significant for WB + BT (P = .124). The shapes at each time point represent average values (n = 9) of growth factor released, and error bars are standard errors of the mean (SEMs).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we hypothesized that release kinetics of growth factors released from activated platelets is affected by both platelet activator and platelet concentration. Our results show that both factors have significant influence on growth factor release. We studied 3 different growth factors: TGF-β1, PDGF-AB, and VEGF. While there is a plethora of growth factors released from platelets, these 3 are best described for both their association with platelets and their effect in the wound healing cascade and will therefore serve as surrogates for growth factor release for the purpose of this study. TGF-β1 is released from platelets in a latent form and later becomes activated by any number of molecules involved in extracellular matrix perturbations. To enable measurement of TGF-β1 in vitro, an activation step is required during the ELISA procedure. As a result, the state of the TGF-β1 (active or latent) released into the supernatant was not specifically determined. However, this limitation is somewhat mitigated by the prior work reporting that in the in vivo environment where platelets are present, latent TGF-β1 released from the clot is activated by a furin-like enzyme, which is simultaneously released by the platelets. Thus, for evaluation of the performance of the 2 platelet activators, collagen and thrombin, the determination of latent versus activated TGF-β1 in vitro may have less of an effect on what occurs in vivo.

Our first hypothesis was that activator choice would significantly affect growth factor release. This hypothesis was found to be true when comparing thrombin and collagen activation of PRP. Thrombin activation resulted in an almost immediate release of PDGF-AB and TGF-β1 from the clots, whereas the collagen activator resulted in a gradual accumulation of the growth factors in the surrounding supernatant. For PDGF-AB, the activator changed only the release profile over time but not the final concentration. In contrast, the cumulative release of TGF-β1 from PRP was higher when collagen was used as the activator, suggesting a sustained release of growth factor. In this case, both release time and total amount were influenced by the activator choice.

Prior studies have reported almost complete release of growth factors from thrombin-activated PRP within the first few hours of activation. Our results in the thrombin group parallel this observation. However, the collagen group had a sustained release of cytokines over the first several days. This difference in timing of release may be due to the mechanisms by which thrombin and collagen activate platelets. For collagen to activate platelets, the platelets must first adhere to the collagen and then subsequently be activated through a second receptor. This may require a longer mechanism for platelet activation than the enzymatic
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VEGF has been verified in both lymphocytes and neutrophils. Thus, if the overall VEGF release were affected by the concentration of white blood cells rather than only platelet activation, we might expect similar profiles in both the thrombin- and collagen-activated PRP groups as seen in this experiment. The gradual release of VEGF from the whole blood groups suggests a contribution from neutrophils as well because they accounted for the majority of white blood cells in whole blood, whereas lymphocytes were most populous in the PRP. It is unclear whether this white blood cell effect dominated the VEGF release profile or if it was simply an accessory to the release from platelets observed for the other 2 growth factors. Further studies evaluating the effect of lymphocyte concentration on cytokine release from PRP are planned.

We also hypothesized that concentrating platelets as PRP would result in increased cytokine release from a clot when compared with whole blood. This hypothesis was proven when comparing whole blood and PRP activated by the same activator, thrombin. We found increases in PDGF-AB and TGF-β1 that paralleled the increase in platelet count (approximately 3 times) and an increase in VEGF release that was 5-fold greater than the systemic blood clot. Our results are in line with previous findings that increasing platelet counts in blood may result in higher levels of growth factor release but not necessarily on through a linear correlation for every individual.  

The PRP used in this study contained 3 times more platelets than the baseline whole blood, which is similar to the increase in PDGF and TGF-β1. The higher release of VEGF may again be due to the increased concentration not only of platelets but of leukocytes as well within the PRP.  

There were several limitations of this study. First, we only measured the growth factor release in the supernatant. There may have been additional growth factors released from the platelets but bound to the collagen or other extracellular matrix proteins and thus not available in the supernatant. Further work examining the growth factor adhesion to the multiple extracellular matrix proteins would be required to define this. However, the majority of the global biological activity of a blood clot in the first week after injury is to emit cytokines and growth factors that stimulate cells within the surrounding tissues (mesenchymal cells, stem cells, and fibroblasts) rather than cells within the scaffold itself (red blood cells, small numbers of terminally differentiated white blood cells). Thus, the growth factors released into the surrounding milieu of the wound are also of some importance in understanding the activity of PRP placed in a wound site. Second, this is an in vitro study, and how the release kinetics would be different in vivo remains to be studied. A final limitation is that in this study, we used a PRP preparation method in which the PRP contains not only platelets but also red blood cells and white blood cells. The inclusion of these other cell types may have confounded results to some extent, even though the same numbers of each cell type were used in each PRP group. While the use of a platelet-only preparation would certainly have been of interest on a basic science level, it may be less useful for the clinician trying to understand how his or her PRP preparation method will perform in the operating room.
associated anabolic growth, factors studied here, while the results in an almost immediate release of all the platelet-activating collagen as an activator results in a more sustained increase in platelet count for every individual. The use of thrombin as an activator should be considered carefully, and the optimal concentration of platelets for any given clinical application also deserves further study. In applications where a more sustained growth factor release is desired, a collagen activator may be of some benefit.
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